ACUTE MYELOID LEUKEMIA IN ELDERLY PATIENTS

Andrei Coliță

Hematology Department, Colțea Clinical Hospital, Bucharest

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a disease affecting especially elderly patients. The incidence of the disease increases with age. According to epidemiological data the incidence increases from 1/100000 in young adults (<40 years) up to 15/100000 in patients over 75 years old1,2,3. Thirty-five percent of newly diagnosed patients are aged > 75 years and the median age at diagnosis is 67-69 years 4,5.

The outcome of elderly patients is much poorer than that of younger patients, as shown by multiple studies that demonstrated that age 60 years is an independent negativ prognosis factor in multivariate analysis 5,6. This is also shown by the shorter long term survival (only 3-8% at 5 years) of elderlypatients compared to almost 50% in younger patients 5,7.

AML in older adults seems to have clinically and biologically distinct characters translated by worse treatment outcome compared to patients younger then 60 years. This pattern of evolution is due to some patient specific factors as well as age-related factors 2,4,7.

Compared to younger patients, AML in elderly arise frequently after myelodysplasia or secondary to chemo-/radiotherapy for another malignancy. This could be related with the observation that AML in elderly is a less proliferative disease expressed through lower counts of white blood cells and blasts in blood and marrow 2.4.7.

Leukemic cells in elderly are characterized by features that are different from those of young patients:

- a more frequent expression of stem cell related antigens (CD34)8,
- a high percentage of poor prognosis cytogenetics. In the elderly the incidence of unfavoarble cytogenetic abnormatities (-7/7q-, -5/5q-, 17p anomalies, complexe caryotipe) is over 30% and can reach up to 50% in patients > 75 years compared to young patients where it accounts for only 10-15% 5,7,9. Conversely, cytogenetic alterations associated with good prognosis (t(15;17); t(8;21); inv(16)/t(16;16)) account for at most 5% of elderly AML cases, while they are present in 25-30% of younger AML patients 5,7,8,9.
- gene espression profile. During the last years, a number of acquired gene alterations associated with prognostic significance heve been identified. Like in younger patients, cytogenetically normal AML elderly patients with a NPM1 mutation or a bi-allelic CEBPA mutation lacking FLT3 mutation have relatively

favourable prognosis 7,10. Some other recurrent genetic alterations like TET2 and IDH2 seem to be more common in older patients5. recent studies revealed that AML presenting at older age showed distinct gene expression profiles such as the downreulation of the tumor-suppressor p16INK4A and a higher probability of RAS, Src and TNF pathway activation associated with decreased sensitivity to anthracyclines 5,7,11,12.

- resistance to standard chemotherapy explained by a more common expression of MDR1 glycoprotein3,5.

Other factors are age-related such as comorbidities, impaired bone marrow stem cell reserve, with poor tolerance to myelosuppressive chemotherapy.

All this factors could explain why the current chemotherapy-based treatment of older adults with AML has disappointing results: CR rate is <45% (compared to 75% for younger patients), treatment related mortality is $\sim25\%$, median overall survival is ~10 month, the relapse rate is high and only 10% of cases have long survival 3.

These disappointing results are a major challenge in the therapy of this patients and call for more effective and less toxic treatment strategies.

Recent results of clinical studies showed that intensive treatment even in elderly patients is superior in terms of response rate and survival than low-dose treatment or best supportive care 13,14.

Currently, the most used and associated with best results chemotherapy is the "7+3" combination13. Clinical trials are evaluating other therapy approaches in order to improve induction results:

- higher daunorubicine doses 15 or use of other antracyclines in standard or higher doses 16; this studies showed a higher CR rate for higher antrcycline doses but without impact on overall survival; the most important conclusion of this studies was that elderly patients can tolerate antracyclines and that there is no ground to decrease doses in patients wiyh good clinical condition 5,13;
- association of MDR inhibitors (Cyclosporine or analogs) without significant results 5;
- monotherapy/combinations containing clofarabine ongoing studies 5,17,18.

After obtaining CR, there isn't a consensus regarding postremission therapy for elderly patients, though several approaches are under investigation:

- consolidation chemotherapy – controversial

results. Recent studied showed no superiority of intensive consolidation (1 vs 4 cycles) compared to less intensive but longer therapy(e.g. monthly courses of lower dosis Ara-C and antracyclines) 20.

- Reduced intensity conditioning hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (RIC-HCT) is a promising alternative for patients over 70 years with good clinical condition. There are still debates regarding the improvement of RIC-HCT outcome by reducing the toxicity of conditioning (using clofarabine or radilabeled antiCD45 antibodies) or by improving antitumor immunologic activity (specific T cells directed against LAM WT1 specific antigens or against minor histocompatibility complexes on receptor hemtopoietic cells)21.
- Immunologic approach IL-2 associated with histamine 21.

A major problem is that of older patients who cannot tolerate intensive therapy because of comorbidities, clinical condition, age. Clinical studies showed that for this patients treatment, even with low doses, is superior in terms of response rate and survival than supportive care 13,14.

In this cases, low-dose aproaches are used such as low dose cytarabine, or other therapies that are under investigation: low-dose mercaptopurine valproic acid, gentuzumab ozogamicin or clofarabine + low dose cytarabine, hypomethilating agents (azacitidine, decitabine), laromustine 5, 13, 17, 18.

Refferences

- 1. National Cancer Institute. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results. SEER Cancer Statistics Review 1975 -2005. Available at
- http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2005/index.html
- 2. Klepin H, Balducci L. Acute myelogenous leukemia in older patients. The Oncologist 2009:14:222-232
- 3. Erba HP. Prognostic factors in elderly patients with AML and the implications for treatment. Hematology 2007, pp 420428
- 4. Laubach J, Arati VR. Current and emerging strategies for the management of acute myeloid leukemia in the elderly. The Oncologist 2008:13:1097-1108;
- 5. Luger SM. Treating the elderly patient with acute myelogenous leukemia. Hematology 2010: 62-69;
- 6. Buchner T, Berdel WE, Haferlach C, et al. Agerelated rissk profile and chemotherapy dose response in acute myeloid leukemia: a study by the German Acute Myeloid Leukemia Group. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27: 61-69
- 7. Renneville A. Biology of AML in the elderly. Proceedings of the first international conference on hematological malignancies in the elderly. 2010: 43-45;
- 8. Pinto A, Zagonel V, Ferrara F. Acute myeloid

- leukemia in the elderly: Biology and therapeutic strategies. Crit Rev Oncolo Hematol 2001;39:275-287;
- 9. Appelbaum FR, Grundacker H, Head DR. et al. Age and acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 2006; 107:3481-3485;
- 10. Schlenk F, Dohner K, Krauter J et al. Mutations and treatment outcome in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2008: 358: 1909-1918; 11. de Jonge HJ, de Bont ES, Valk PJ, et al. AML at older age: Age-related gene expression profiles reveal a paradoxical down-regulation of p16INK4A mRNA with prognostic significance. Blood 2009;114:2869-2877
- 12. Rao AV, Valk PJ, MetzelerKH, et al. Age-specific differences in oncogenic pathway dysregutaion and anthracycline sensitivity in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27:5580-5586;
- 13. Gardin C. Management of AML in the elderly. Proceedings of the first international conference on hematological malignancies in the elderly. 2010: 46-47;
- 14. Juliusson G, Antunovic P, Derolf A, et al. Age and acute myeloid leukemia: Real world data on decision to treat and outcome from the Swedish Acute Leukemia Registry. Blood 2009;113:4179-4187;
- 15. Lowenberg B, Ossenkopele GJ, van Puten W, et al. Hidh-dose daunorubicin in older patients with acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2009:361:1235-1248; 16. Pautas C, Merabet F, Thomas X, et al. Randomized study of intensified anthracycline doses for induction and recombinant interleukin-2 for maintenance in patients with acute myeloid leukemia age 50 to 70 years: results of the ALFA-9801 study. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 808-814;
- 17. Burnett A, Russel N, Kell J, et al. European development of clofarabine as treatment for older patients with acute myeloid leukemia considered unsuitable for intensive chemotherapy [abstract]. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28.
- 18. Faderl S, Erba HP, Claxton DF et al. Clofarabine produces durable remissions in older patients with AML with unfavorable prognostic factors and multiple comorbidities [abstract]. Blood 2009; 114.
- 19. Goldstone A, Burnett A, Wheatley K, et al. Attempts to improve treatment outcomes in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in older patients: the results of the United Kingdom Medical Research Council AML 11 trial. Blood 2001;98:1302-1311;
- 20. Itzykson R, Gardin C, Pautas C et al. Impact of post-remission therapy in patients aged 65-70 years with de novo acute myeloid leukemia: a comparison of two concomitant randomized ALFA trials with overlapping age inclusion criteria. Haematologica 2011;96:837-844;
- 21. Estey E. Treatment of acute myeloid leukemia in the elderly. Haematologica 2011;96:795-798;